

CHINA'S NATIONALITIES POLICIES: QUO VADIS?

Prof. Dr. Thomas Heberer

Institute of East Asian Studies
University Duisburg-Essen/Germany
heberer@uni-duisburg.de

Introduction

There has been a significant increase of ethnic conflicts in the last decade. They constitute one of the main sources of domestic political instability in multi-national countries. Political, economic, cultural, religious and history related conflicts as well as the worldwide *ethnic revival* are the main causes. China has not been exempt from this trend, as demonstrated by ethnic conflict in Tibet, Xinjiang and Inner Mongolia. This can be attributed to internal causes (liberalization, reform policies, the policy of "opening" to the outside world, social change), to the influence of the disintegration of multi-ethnic states like the former Soviet Union and Yugoslavia or to increasing ethnic nationalism in neighbouring Central Asia. Therefore, in China new mechanisms of conflict solution will have to be found as well.

The political campaigns of the Mao era led to deformations of ethnic cultures. Alienation from cultural values changed the character of minorities, and many minority intellectuals were alienated from their own culture and their cultural roots. But the attempt at forced assimilation, particularly during the "Cultural Revolution" (1966-76) promoted the consciousness of ethnic identity. The liberal policies of the reform era have given great latitude to this ethnicity.¹ Social change following upon economic change, political liberalization, and the erosion of socialist ideology led to a new search for identity among the ethnic minorities, particularly because the process of modernization is in many ways felt as a menace to ethnic identity, ethnic cohesion and mode of life. Amidst rapid social change people turn back to their ethnic culture, seeking protection and security, and this becomes a substantial motive for ethnonationalism and growing ethnicity.²

Rising ethnicity, on the one hand, has a protective function for an ethnic group; on the other hand, it is a symptom of a crisis.³ As Nash puts it:

"The identity dimension of ethnicity (...) rests on the fact that fellow members of the ethnic group are thought to be 'human' and trustworthy in ways that outsiders are not. The ethnic group provides a refuge against a hostile, uncaring world. Like a family, it has continuing claim on loyalty and sacrifice The idea of refuge, the place where one is fully human, whatever failure or success happens

¹ Smith 1996, pp. 445-458.

² Rösel 1995, pp. 117-130.

³ Reiter 1991, p. 69.

in the larger world, is the cement and power of ethnic membership and continuity."⁴

It is exactly this element that prevents assimilation of ethnic groups in China in the name of "socialism" or "socialist modernization". This psychological force of ethnicity thus reaches beyond the idea of national consciousness and attempts to explain psychologically why people cling to a political identity. This explains to us why all attempts at forced or covert assimilation (not only) in China are unavailing, and why every political liberalization leads to a stronger self-consciousness of nationality.

The growing influence of religion and traditional culture among ethnic minorities in China must be understood as another indication of rising ethnicity.⁵ In this context, religion and traditions are not only a reminder of one's own culture and cultural identity, but are also a reaction to the process of social change directed from above by Han Chinese (the ethnic majority in China). The process of modernization and change threatens the cohesion of an ethnic group and thus often provokes mobilization for preserving the group identity. At the same time this process creates new ethnic ideologies and institutions.⁶

Ethnic identity we understand as a group's consciousness of its ethnic, historical and cultural peculiarity and 'otherness'. Here we must pay particular attention to cultural identity as part of ethnic identity.⁷ We think of culture as not only a kind of materially manifested system of habits and customs, but also as *psychic income*, "those things which satisfy the mental and spiritual needs of human beings".⁸ If culture, as a value system common to the members of a given society, becomes a means by which they define their identity and simultaneously mark themselves off from others, this explains why culture and nationality are very tightly interwoven, and why attacks on culture are concurrently understood as attacks on the identity of a nationality.

Social scientists once held the opinion that with economic development and modernization religious, ethnic and cultural differences between societies would disappear; ethnic de-differentiation would be the result of modernization processes.⁹ It was widely assumed that market expansion, industrialization and modernization would lead to ethnic homogenisation of cultures. But in fact the opposite was the case: ethnic revival as well as social and cultural differentiation. This is true also for China, but till now there have been very few detailed studies on this subject.¹⁰

China consists of 56 nationalities. The majority with more than 1.2 billion people call themselves "Han", although the Han are not a homogeneous nationality either, but include different groups, a point I will not address here. The population census of the year 2000, the last one, revealed 105 million people belonging to one of the

⁴ Nash 1989, p. 128.

⁵ Haynes 1994, pp. 150ff.

⁶ Newman 1991, p. 452.

⁷ DeVos/Romanucci-Ross 1995, pp. 349ff.; DeVos 1995, pp. 15ff.

⁸ Kellas 1991, pp. 66-67.


⁹ Banton 1967, p. 2; Esser 1980, pp. 118f.; Heckmann 1992, pp. 30ff.

¹⁰ Dru Gladney's study on the Hui (1991) is one of the rare case studies.

55 "national minorities", 8.4 % of the entire population. Since the 60s, the minorities' population has developed more rapidly than that of the Han.

Han – Minorities Population Share According to Population C

Han-Minorities Population Share According to the Population Census 2000



	1953	1964	1982	1990	2000
Han (in %)	93.6	94.2	93.3	92	91.6
Minorities (in %)	6.1	5.8	6.7	8	8.4
Minorities (in Mio.)	35.3	39.9	67.2	91.2	105

Quelle: Minzu Wenti Yanjiu (Research of Nationalities Issues), 4/2003, pp. 75/76.

Surprisingly, the population of some nationalities multiplied between the population census of 1982 and that of 1990. For example, the number of the Russians has increased from about 3,000 to 13,000, that of the Gelao from 54,000 to 438,000. The numbers of Manchus, Xibe, Qiang, She and Tujia has doubled.¹¹ There are several reasons for that: higher birth rates, changes of ethnic registrations, because people identify with a minority due to a stronger feeling of ethnic identity or material advantages. In principal, less the natural increase of populations, but rather rising ethnicity and ethnic identity are the principal reasons for this development.

In contrast to the former Soviet Union two points are important:

- Unlike the former Soviet Union, China is not facing disintegration
- Today we do not have some kind of ethnocide inside China

Furthermore, we should appreciate affirmative policies toward non-Han nationalities: like the recognition of the existence of different ethnic groups, the prohibiting of discrimination, special laws for minorities in the 1950s and in the 80s; providing aid to minority areas, guarantees of special representation in political institutions, special benefits in terms of population policy and university entrance examinations, freedom to choose ethnic identity etc.¹² But when discussing the future of minorities, it is not sufficient to mention positive aspects only, but features which explain existing conflicts that affect stability should be addressed, and possible methods of conflict reduction should be considered.¹³

1. Patterns and Sources of Conflict

For many years China officially denied the existence of ethnic conflicts. But recent opinion polls among Chinese citizens point to growing ethnic conflicts. For instance: A survey (about 80% of the people asked belonged to minority groups) revealed that

- (1) 51.7% of the people asked did **not** believe that the relationships between Han and minorities were harmonious and unrest in minority areas would **not** occur.
- (2) The growing disparities between Han-areas and minority areas were assessed as follows:
 - increases feelings of inequality among minorities (63.3%);
 - will stir up ethnic unrest (35.6%);
 - increases feelings of discontent (33.0%).
- (3) Only 30.3% were of the opinion that political equality between Han and non-Han has been realized.

¹¹ Zhongguo minzu tongji nianjian 1997, pp. 299/300.

¹² Cf. Sautmann 1998. Affirmative action see Pincus 1994; Blumenwitz 1995, pp. 151ff. Many Han-Chinese perceive these policies as a discrimination against the ethnic majority.

¹³ An enlarged German version of this article: Heberer 2000b.

(4) Merely 9.7% were satisfied with the existing autonomy.¹⁴

Another survey (in which 135 people of 24 nationalities were questioned) asking for trends in the next decade revealed:

<u>Answers</u>	<u>%</u>
No unrest will occur	32.6
Unrest and turmoil will happen	23.7
No answer	43.7

Source: Yang Jingchu 1996: 18.

Less than one third were of the opinion that no unrest will occur. More than two thirds were not so clear about this or expressed the opposite opinion. The findings of the two surveys demonstrate that a rather large percentage of people expect growing ethnic conflicts in the near future.

In my opinion there are primarily five sources of conflict: collective memory, political conflicts, economic conflicts, cultural conflicts and new conflicts arising from economic and social change.

In the following, I will explain the above mentioned five strings of conflict.

1.1 The first source of conflict: collective memory and historical knowledge

"Nations begin in the minds of men" argues Ross Stagner¹⁵, indicating that conflicts have their origin in the mind of nationalities. Stereotypes and prejudices towards the others shape the behavior towards them. This implies a historical dimension. Therefore, an analysis of ethnic conflicts has to start with an analysis of the historical and mental-cognitive dimension, with the ideological resources of conflicts¹⁶, in order to understand what creates ethnic conflict and resistance.

The dimension of collective memory and historical knowledge encompasses two points:

(a) historical conflicts and traumatic events in the memory of an ethnic group (like suppression or expulsion, something that has happened rather frequently in Chinese history, e.g. the bloody suppression of the Miao and Hui uprisings in the 18th and 19th century or of the uprisings of the Yi, Tibetan, Yao and others in the 50s or 60s), and especially traumatic events during the Cultural Revolution;

(b) perceptions of non-Han people by the Han in history

¹⁴ Weng/Zhang/Qu et al. 1995, pp. 202-219; Jiang/Lu/Dan et al. 1996, pp. 212-219.

¹⁵ Stagner 1967, p. VII.

¹⁶ On ideological resources see Imhof 1993, p. 333.

1.1.1 Sino-centred images

Even today, traditional perceptions shape the behaviour patterns and attitudes towards other people (minorities) and the expectations how those minorities should behave towards the power center. This has to do with more than two thousand years of continuous predominance of central power and Chinese culture. Imperial China understood itself as the cultural center of the world and its culture as the culture of mankind per se. In traditional concepts of belief the existence of various peoples with clear-cut settlement areas was accepted; but there was only one people entrusted by heaven to be in charge of the whole of mankind. This people was thought of as the center of the world, as the "Middle Kingdom" (*Zhongguo*) and its emperors as "Sons of Heaven".

This sino-centered image was combined with Confucian perceptions of social hierarchy: Ideas of equity didn't exist, because things weren't equal. Take for example two persons, one was always older, higher ranking or of another sex. Those conceptions were applied to external relations as well, with the Chinese empire at the top of the hierarchy. The rest of the world consisted of immediate border areas which were directly subordinated to the empire, like Vietnam, Korea or Japan, the "inner barbarians" at the periphery of the empire and the "outer barbarians" outside that realm.

The people who represented the ancient river culture (later called Han) classified the people surrounding them as "barbarians". This classification was done according to directions (north, south, east, west barbarians), from the distance of that people from the center of the world (the court of the emperor) as well as according to their behaviour towards this center. The emperor's court expected regular tributes, the rulers and leaders of other people were regarded as tributary vassals. Relationships existed mainly with people assessed as weak and culturally inferior. Under conditions of far-reaching isolation for many centuries the idea of superiority was always confirmed. The Han, who were farmers, were contemptuous of the peoples around them, who were hunters and gatherers or nomads and whom the Han believed to be culturally and technologically inferior.

Confucianism, for centuries the state-bearing ideology, was the ideological fundament of the contempt of the "barbarians". They were contempted, because, as the great Chinese historian Sima Qian (ca. 145-86 B.C.) stated, they knew nothing "of *li*, the proper [Confucian] rules of life and *yi*, the duties of life".¹⁷ To be different was by the old Chinese understood as an expression of ignorance of the social structure of relations and of the Confucian rites. They concluded that "barbarians" were unable to control their "emotions", tended rather to give way to their feelings and would behave "like birds and wild animals".¹⁸

Nevertheless Confucianism did not intend to annihilate these people, but demanded their subordination to the emperor as well as their integration into the Chinese empire. The aim was "cultivation" by Confucian values, i. e. a cultural, non-violent assimilation. Even a "barbarian" could become an emperor, but only

¹⁷ de Groot 1921, p. 3.

¹⁸ Cf. Wiens 1954, p. 219; Müller 1980.

by fitting into the Chinese system and by giving up his previous identity.¹⁹ Even today this attitude has changed only gradually and remained an important component of Chinese nationalities policies.

In fact, this traditional world image has been disintegrating since the middle of the 19th century, last but not least through Western influence and penetration, but its basic ideas have by no means disappeared.

Not only the traditional assessment of the non-Han people by the imperial court belongs to the historical facts, but also the historical experiences which those people have had with the Han. All these experiences, which find expression in the collective consciousness of a nationality, are underestimated. In official descriptions the history of the non-Han people is mainly reduced to three points:

- (1) to an early and close connection or affiliation to China;
- (2) to the struggle of the exploited and suppressed against the rulers of one's own nationality;
- (3) to the struggle against imperialism and against those who want to split up the unity of the motherland.

Till today the evaluation of minorities depends on their service to the (Han-) Chinese nation.²⁰

However, in Chinese history another point exists as well, that is the expulsion of these people to remote areas and cruel punishments in case of revolt. The history of the Miao or the Hui and their treatment by the imperial court serve as evident examples. These aspects of history are rarely or never mentioned in Chinese history books. And this one-sided perception of history is the very reason why traumatic events (like expulsion and cruel punishment) are not critically reassessed, but reproduce themselves in the collective consciousness of an ethnic group and thus perpetuate contradictions between nationalities. Traumatic events did not only occur during the time of imperial China or in the Republic of China, but also during the People's Republic. The various political movements (movement against local nationalism in the second half of the 50s, the Great Leap Forward at the end of the 50s or the Cultural Revolution 1967-76) represented the worst excesses of suppression of nationalities which cannot simply be blotted out from the memory of an ethnic group. It is true that the Cultural Revolution has influenced every inhabitant of China, but there is one important difference: these movements were perceived by the Han as movements for which their own political leadership was responsible, but by non-Han as movements for which the Han and their party were responsible. In the first case it is considered as a political conflict, in the second case as an ethnic one. The trauma of those years, when all ethnic and religious differences were regarded as hostile and reactionary, has not simply disappeared.

In the beginning of the 80s a cadre of the Yi expressed this different attitude between Han and non-Han rather clearly:

¹⁹ Franke 1962, p. 22.

²⁰ See for example Bulag 2000, p. 196.

"In the 50es the Party told us, Gao Gang and Rao Shushi [two leading Party figures in northeast China that were purged in 1954] were bad guys and should be criticised; in the 60es Liu Shaoqi [the former head of state; he died in prison during the Cultural Revolution] had to be criticized. Lin Biao, the deputy of Mao [during the Cultural Revolution] was at first magnificent, then an evildoer (*huaidan*). We had even to criticize Confucius. All those people were Han, and we don't know, if they were good or bad. We have nothing to do with them."

The Cultural Revolution was not only directed at psychological and physical annihilation and suppression, but comprised the element of *memoricide*, that is the extermination of historical documents, accompanied by rituals of intimidation, in order to demonstrate who has the monopoly of interpretation of Chinese history. This memoricide has not been forgotten, especially today, where representatives of various minorities are trying to reappraise and reinterpret their history. Concurrently, among ethnic minorities in China we find a rediscovering and an increasing consciousness of history. Accordingly, Stevan Harrell argued that in China a triple pattern of ethnic classification is existing: *ethnohistory*, a scholarly discourse of the history of a nationality or an area; *state discourse of ethnic historization*, the official classification by Chinese authorities, and *ethnic identity*, the perception of one's own and ethnic identity.²¹ Undoubtly, there exist differences in the way in which different nationalities evaluate history and historical events, a fact that till today is not sufficiently understood by the political leadership of the Han.

But, beside the sinocentric world view we have another element of perceiving non-Chinese "barbarians" in China: the idealization of their naturalness and simplicity, a factor mentioned above that I will not address here.

1.1.2 Minorities and Exotism

"Exotism", writes the French anthropologist Michel Leiris, is

"the distortion of the stranger and unknown as the brave 'savage' or 'good guy from the jungle' or generally his degradation to an object of projection. The exotic motivated encounter is not based on the interest to learn something about the other in his order or about oneself. Exotism is ethnocentric decorating and adventurment."²²

And it is exactly this exotism which seems to characterize the official image of "minorities" in the Chinese public. Mostly they are depicted dancing, singing, laughing, in colourful garments, under palm trees, in high mountain areas or bizarre landscapes. The dances are wild, fires are blazing, mythical images are shown, so that the spectator feels strangeness and sometimes suspicion and fright.

²¹ Harrell 1995, p. 98.

²² Leiris 1979, pp. 40/41.

Mainly young women are depicted whose features, figures and motions are similar to Han ideals of beauty. This can even include an eroticisation of minorities.²³

1.1.3 Patriarchal Myth of Kinship

A patriarchal kinship myth characterizes the official description of the relationship between Han and minorities. Han are described as father-figures or elder brothers. Surrounded by members of minorities they advise, teach and instruct them, they are teachers and idols. This is expressed by the name "big elder brother" (*lao da ge*), the name Han have given themselves in terms of minorities.

Well, fathers or elder brothers have the task of educating the children or the younger brothers and sisters - a clearly Confucian element, which of course can be found in other world regions as well. This patriarchal concept finds its ideological expression in the idea that the most advanced culture is that of the "father ethnic group", i. e. the Han. Society as a whole is regarded as a homogeneous ethnic community, a closed unit like a family, where only a division of labour between the superior and the inferior exists. The head of the family (the Han) has the duty to protect the family, to educate, instruct and advise its members, the children (minorities) are expected to be loyal and to respect the father of this family and his education concepts.

1.1.4 Historical Backwardness and Hierarchization

The traditional perceptions correspond well with historic-materialistic concepts developed, for example, by Josef Stalin in the 30s. According to his "doctrine of socio-economic formations" the societies of all nationalities in history could be classified into five categories: primitive, slave, feudal, capitalist and socialist societies.²⁴ This concept, which has its origin in the European history of ideas (e.g. Turgot), fitted well into the traditional Chinese thinking of hierarchization. In this way every ethnic group had its fixed place in the hierarchy of nationalities and in its relationship with the "most advanced" people, the Han. Under socialism the Han could retain their traditional position and function toward non-Han people: As the societies of the national minorities were considered inferior to that of the Han, the culture of the Han remained the highest-ranking one. It was the duty of the Han to civilize and modernize the minorities' societies. The cultural "avantgarde", representative and guardian of culture and civilization, was now no longer the emperor's court, his officials, the gentry and the traditional examination system, but the Communist Party with its functionaries and its education system. The duty of every nationality was to catch up with the Han as quick as possible and to bring its economy and society into line with that of the Han. The patriarchal state correspondingly had to initiate suitable measures and policies. It decided what was useful for a minority, what was advanced or backward, civilized or uncivilized, and which customs or habits were beneficial or harmful and had accordingly to be abolished or reformed. Even today school students learn that

²³ Cf. Heberer 2001b.

²⁴ A more recent example of this classification: Yang Houdi 1997, pp. 1ff.

ethnic minorities were economically and culturally more backward than the Han.²⁵

Stereotypes like those mentioned above are an obstacle to earnest discourse and debate between the Han and the non-Han people and their cultures. The demystification of the strange and unknown, of the "other", the understanding of exoticistic prejudices and stereotypes remains an important task. The decisive aspect of such stereotypes is the concept of hierarchization, because it perpetuates and legitimizes inequality and tutelage. The philosopher Michael Walzer has pointed out that the idea of a cultural hierarchy always poses a threat for the people whose culture is devaluated. Hierarchies, so Walzer, are never "innocent", because they tend towards policies of discrimination.²⁶ The classification as inferior is thus an obstacle to true autonomy or self-administration, because those nationalities are seen as incapable of successful management and self-government.

To summarize this point, the Chinese nationalities project is characterized by the following distinctive mental concepts:

- (a) **The concept of China as a territorial project** (*Zhongguo*, the *Middle Kingdom*), i.e. the idea of a territory that is not populated by nationalities per se, but by a territorially defined community constituting a large family (*guojia*, as a general term for state and country, a combination of the characters for state/country and family). All people living upon this territory are considered as "Chinese" (*Zhongguoren*). In this concept the Han are perceived to be a cultural model and political pace-maker.²⁷
- (b) **The notion of the homogeneousness of a dominating culture** ("Han") in contrast to quantitatively und qualitatively inferior "ethnic minorities" (*shaoshu minzu*). Indeed, this dichotomy was constructed only. Actually, the Han do not constitute an ethnically homogeneous group either. Rather, they are the result of a mixture of various people during history; furthermore, they comprise groups that differ significantly in terms of language, dress, customs, habits or ways of living. Some groups even do not perceive themselves as "Han" (e.g. the Hakka or the Taiwanese).²⁸ As far as the minorities are concerned they do not constitute an uniform entity, too, but comprise a wide range of different groups. Considering the relationship between Han and non-Han the American social anthropologist Charles McKhann argues, that ethnicity in China is not only related to a "bipolar structure, in which all (55) minorities are opposed to the majority Han", but also an interdependent process of relations between neighboring minority groups.²⁹
- (c) **The perception of history as a gradual development and learning process, in which the Han have the "historical task" to "cultivate" the "minorities"** as well as the perception of the existence of an ethnic hierarchy

²⁵ See Hansen 1999.

²⁶ Walzer 1996, p. 186.

²⁷ Dikötter 1992, p. 97 notes that the Chinese concept of *minzu* (nationality) is a synthesis of the terms lineage with a common territory and common ancestors.

²⁸ The Han consist of at least eight distinctive language groups.

²⁹ McKhann 1998, pp. 1ff.

with the Han as the most developed nationality on the top. Stevan Harrell has called this the *civilizing project*.³⁰ And this is exactly the fundament of what in China is labelled "nationalities relations" (*minzu guanxi*), a concept that last but not least could be classified as an expropriation of the history of the ethnic minorities.³¹

But to reduce the perception of minorities by the Han just to those three concepts would be one-sided. Furthermore, there are other perceptions as well, e.g. an idealization encompassing images of exotism, originality and pureness. Depicting European images of Asia the German sociologist Volker Heins once distinguished three "moral world maps" in perceiving the "East".³² If we adopt this concept to the Han perception of ethnic minorities we will find the same set of maps in the minds of Han: (1) the *barbarian minorities* of stagnation and backwardness; (2) the *sinicized minorities* as late-comers of development that have to catch up with the Han as quick as possible and to bring their economies and societies into line with that of the Han, and (c) the *delightful minorities* of prodigy, exotism and esotericism.³³

1.2 Political Sources of Conflict

This encompasses two points:

- *Lack of real autonomy*
- *Contradiction between a multi-ethnic country and a party where ethnicity counts for little*

The Cultural Revolution made it clear that the integration of non-Han people was to be achieved not through force, but through measures that were based on a broad consensus. The 1982 constitution re-evaluated the minorities correspondingly, and the 1984 "Autonomy Law" formally extended to them the widest-reaching freedoms since the founding of the People's Republic.³⁴ But most of the clauses of the Autonomy Law were so vaguely worded that they are unimplementable in the absence of accompanying laws. It is a *soft law*, that is it sets goals that should be followed as much as possible by state policies. It lacks reference to an effective system for the protection of autonomy. In addition, there are no legal measures for the implementation of this law. There are correspondingly many complaints that local authorities do not keep to it.³⁵

Because those rights are not really enforceable (in the end, there is no law independent of the Party and no constitutional or administrative court), the degree to which rights can be realized depends on the current party line, and is therefore quite arbitrary. As early as 1980 a representative of the Li on Hainan had

³⁰ Harrell 1995b, pp. 3ff.

³¹ The ethnic hierarchy is accompanied by language hierarchy, cf. Dwyer 1998, pp. 71ff.

³² Frankfurter Rundschau, 28 December, 1996.

³³ Cf. Heberer 2001b.

³⁴ Cf. Heberer 1984b.

³⁵ See e.g. Wang Geliu 1997; He Gaowa 1997; Ma Wenyu 1997; Wu Zongjin 1998, pp. 167ff.; Hao 1998, pp. 241ff.; Shen/Shi 1998, pp. 136ff.; Wang Yongwu 1998.

complained bitterly about this indeterminacy of rights. There were laws even in the fifties, but in 1958 these were criticized and the Li and Miao Autonomous Prefecture was dissolved. In 1962 it was restored. In 1966 it was explained again that autonomous areas were no longer needed, and those dissolved were later once again restored. And "Today a party secretary comes and abolishes the autonomous region, tomorrow the next one comes and establishes it again. In many ways the autonomous regions and their development depend on this or that line."³⁶ That this development continues is demonstrated by the fact that this autonomous prefecture was again dissolved in 1988. The relatively large autonomous prefecture stood in the way of the process of opening up the island of Hainan, elevated to the status of a province, and was therefore abolished without much comment.

The lack of implementable rights of self-rule, together with the creeping undermining through Han migration into minority areas and environmental damage in those areas, things over which nationalities who are practising autonomy have no kind of influence, are the nuclei of discontent in non-Han areas.³⁷ Corruption, if done by Han cadres contributes to this discontent, because minority people often perceive corruption as a misbehaviour of Han towards minorities.

A basic conflict of Chinese society consists of the incompatibility between the (ideologically) single-ethnic party and the polyethnic society. The party which, corresponding to the majority of the population, is dominated by Han Chinese, is the court of last resort. It is dedicated in its organizational structure to the levelling of all ethnic differences and is not subordinated either to the legal system or to autonomy. Therefore all forms of self-rule find their limits here. And this inhibits actual, implementable laws of autonomy.

Accordingly, the *Autonomy Law* did not in any way calm the calls of many minority leaders for wider-ranging, actual autonomy (up to the maximum degree, that Beijing would, following the Emperor's example, manage only the international relations and military interests of large regions like Tibet or Xinjiang, and leave local politics to the peoples living there). Particularly among the larger nationalities, such as the Tibetan and the Uyghur, disappointment spread widely. At the beginning of the 1980s the non-Han peoples looked to Beijing. From that quarter at the outset much was promised, but in fundamental questions little was given. Percentage increases in the economic and educational spheres and the re-granting of certain freedoms in the cultural sector deceive us into ignoring the basic problem: while local ethnic cultures are valorized and promoted in the short run, long-run objectives assume that development equals Hanification, and policies thus become self-contradictory. Particularly among the larger nationalities, disappointment spread widely, and younger forces radicalized, because they no longer expected any solutions to their problems from Beijing.

1.3 Economic Sources of Conflicts

³⁶ Op. cit. Minzu Tuanjie (Unity of Nationalities), 10/1980, p. 4.

³⁷ See also Heberer 1987, pp. 25ff.

Those are primarily:

- *Poverty*
- *Increasing development gaps*
- *Increasing income gaps*
- *Economic neglect of a nationality or its territory*
- *conflicts over usage of land and resources.*

Minority areas remain the stepchildren of development. The gap in development between the autonomous regions of the non-Han peoples and the Han regions has increased in spite of the reform policies. 80% of the official number of people under the poverty line live in minority areas.³⁸ Particularly in terms of industrial development the gap between Han and minorities' areas is growing (compare table 1).

³⁸ Weng/Zhang/Qu et al. 1995, pp. 216/217.

Table 1: Gross Output Value of Autonomous Regions as a Percentage of China's Total (in %)

<i>Year</i>	<i>1952</i>	<i>1978</i>	<i>1985</i>	<i>1990</i>	<i>1996</i>	<i>1997</i>	<i>1998</i>
Ind./Agriculture	7.1	6.5	9.1	7.2	6.3	6.1	5.9
Industry	3.3	5.0	7.9	5.4	4.9	4.6	4.5
Agriculture	10.1	11.1	12.1	12.8	12.6	12.9	13.1

Source: Zhongguo tongji nianjian 1999, pp. 40/41; 382 and 423.

Only in terms of agricultural output there is a gradual increase, pointing out that a division of labour between Han areas (industry) and non-Han areas (agriculture) is emerging, a development pattern that Michel Hechter has characterized as a form of a *internal colonialism*.³⁹

Table 2: Per capita net income of rural households in autonomous regions and provinces with high percentage of minorities (1985 and 1998 in comparison)

	<i>Yuan</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Yuan</i>	<i>%</i>
<i>China</i>	398	100.0	2,162	100.0
Guangxi	303	76.1	1,972	91.2
Inner Mong.	360	90.5	1,981	91.6
Ningxia	321	80.7	1,721	79.6
Tibet	353	88.7	1,232	57.0
Xinjiang	394	99.0	1,600	74.0
Guizhou	288	72.3	1,334	61.7
Yunnan	338	84.9	1,387	64.2
Qinghai	343	86.2	1,425	65.9

Source: Zhongguo tongji nianjian 1999, p. 339.

In all provinces (except Guangxi and Inner Mongolia in which the gap between Han and minority areas increased either) the average per capita income of minorities' areas has considerably decreased.

³⁹ Compare Hechter 1975 and 1976.

Although, for sure, considerable materials flow from the center to the minority areas, nearly half of the counties classified as "poor" lie in national minority areas. Of course, the Party is not solely responsible for this, because some of these areas are remote regions of refuge, into which non-Han peoples have had to flee from Han expansion in recent centuries; but this also makes clear that since the founding of the People's Republic no development policy suited to these areas has been followed. The reform policies have visibly diminished the state tutelage. But this has not in any way brought advantages to the minority areas. According to Chinese reports, many autonomous areas have not been given enough credit, subsidies, foreign exchange and materials by the center or the provinces. The financial subsidies per head have partly been reduced. The investments in autonomous areas (about 60% of the total Chinese territory) were 11.7% of the total investments in 1993, 8.9% in 1994 and still less at the end of the 90s.⁴⁰

Delegates from minority regions at a meeting of the National People's Congress in the early 90s warned of the emergence of a "new fourth world" inside China, by which they meant to point to the alarming development in many minority areas.

1.4 The Fourth Source of Conflict: Cultural conflicts

This encompasses:

- Unequal treatment of cultures
- Different conceptions of state and law
- Different cultural or religious expectations and aims

The main problem of cultural policy is that since the 1950s "healthy" and "unhealthy" customs and practices have been differentiated. Unhealthy ones should be eliminated or "reformed"; healthy ones preserved. Because this definition has never been precisely clarified, it always has led and continues to lead to local interference in the area of customs.

1.5 The Fifth Source of Conflict: New Conflicts due to economic and social change and due to the erosion of authority

Those are:

- *Modernization as an imagined threat to ethnic identity*
- *Exploitation of resources*
- *In-migration of Han*
- *Corruption*
- *Growing unemployment*
- *Growing discrimination against*
- *Economization of minorities' cultures*

⁴⁰ Ibid., pp. 216/217. Yet the private sector provides new choices for local development in areas inhabited by ethnic minorities, cf. Heberer 2001c, 2004 and Heberer forthcoming.

Exploitation of resources in minorities' areas (forests, mineral resources, land), immigration of Han, corruption, spatial mobilization, growing unemployment among minority people and the growing gaps between Han and minorities in terms of income, economic development; growing discrimination against and the economization of minorities' cultures lead to new patterns of conflict. Modernization processes and social change generate feelings of threat, of disintegration, decay of minorities' cultures and social communities.

2 Patterns of Resistance

Ethnic resistance was for a long time romanticized by the Communist Party in terms of class conflict, national liberation or revolution.⁴¹ In fact, there are different patterns of resistance: active and passive ones, violent and peaceful ones, formal and informal patterns. Conflicts and resistance are by no means uniform. Each group has distinct interests and respond patterns that evoke particular forms of ethnic opposition.

(1) Growing separatist movements

Such movements exist not only in Tibet, but particularly in Xinjiang and smaller in scale in Inner Mongolia.⁴² Most crucial seems to be the development in Xinjiang, a region inhabited primarily by Moslem and Turkic people. According to Chinese sources there are 12 movements in Xinjiang fighting for independence, among them radical Islamic or Pan-Turkic groups. Guerrilla warfare and violence are widespread.

(2) Active local resistance

like open protests and demonstrations against the closing of temples, churches and mosques; against the conversion of pasture land into arable land; against deforestation, damage to the environment and ecological destruction; against interference in customs or birth control, and against various forms of discrimination.

(3) Passive local resistance

Minority groups taking refuge in mountains or forests and the revitalization of traditions and institutions (underground churches and mosques, Islamic underground schools, shamans) have to be interpreted as a reaction to Chinese perceptions of "modernization" and of growing ethnicity. The reform process did not lead to acculturation, but primarily to a re-emergence of local traditions. The statement of a young Yi scholar in her presentation at an international conference three years ago is an expression of the new proud of traditions. This scholar stated that Bimo (traditional priests, healers and exorcists) were characterized by "love

⁴¹ See Bulag 2000, pp. 184/185.

⁴² Cf., for example, Hoppe 1997; Heberer 2001a; Barkmann 1997. For the Chinese leadership the separatist movement in Xinjiang is much more dangerous than the independence movement in Tibet, see Kaifang, July 1996, p. 39, op. cit. Becquelin 1997, p. 25.

for their profession" and a notion of equality, as they were active for every Yi. Bimo, she argued, are law-abiding, industrious and truth-loving, have high moral standards and fight corruption.⁴³ Because of this the Bimo that were outlawed for many decades, are now once more considered to be ideal persons or, as one Yi participant of the conference remarked, as "ideal candidates for Communist Party membership".⁴⁴

(4) Revitalization of religions

This is not only true for Islam, Christianity and Tibetan Buddhism, but also for animistic and shamanistic beliefs, for the increase of religious sects and chiliastic movements.⁴⁵ Among Miao groups the traditional expectation of salvation, predicting that after a large disaster or catastrophe the Miao king would return, give them back their lost land and create a Miao state, is re-emerging.⁴⁶ Among the Yi in the Liangshan mountains the influence of charismatic sect leaders who preach that the end of the world is near is growing. As a Chinese journal has stated, in some villages, townships and even counties such sects already control party organizations and government institutions.⁴⁷ Such movements are occurring in situations of rapid social change, turning to an Utopian world view as a reaction to decay, social disintegration and the feeling of social and ethnic threat.

The increasing consciousness of national identity, especially among the larger peoples, expresses itself, among other ways, in increasing religiousness. The growing influence of religion and traditional culture among ethnic minorities has to be understood as an indication of rising ethnicity. In this context, religion and traditions are not only a reminder of one's own culture and cultural identity, but also serve to get to grips with social change. The increasing influence of religion and traditions are thus also a reaction to the process of social change. The process of modernization and change weakens the cohesion of an ethnic group and produces mobilization to preserve the group identity and in that way promotes ethnicity.

(5) Cross-boarder Migration

(6) Migration into the prosperous coastal areas or to provincial capitals

(7) Segregation or Communalism

This means group-building in terms of ethnic categories, separation in accomodation, space, organizations, networking, lifestyles or consumption.⁴⁸

Indeed, we could identify far more patterns of resistance, like "linguistic resistance"⁴⁹, the use of minorities' languages by minority officials in reports to

⁴³ Ayi Bamo 1997 und 1998.

⁴⁴ More on the Yi: Harrell 2001.

⁴⁵ Shen Jun 1997, p. 35. See also Bajie Rihuo 1998, pp. 8/9.

⁴⁶ According to informations of Miao, who asked not to be identified, in Beijing, October 1998. For details compare Cheung 1995.

⁴⁷ See, for example, Minzu 10/1995, p. 41; Zhou/Xia 1995, p. 30.

⁴⁸ Ma Rong 1996, pp. 396ff.

⁴⁹ See for example Bulag 2000, pp. 186ff.

higher administrative echelons (in oral or written form) or "constructive drinking"⁵⁰, an excessive consumption of alcohol in order to draw borderlines between the "we-group" and the "others". Furthermore, Han-scholars and Han-officials claim that they were, for instance in Xinjiang or Inner Mongolia, indigenous and that other groups migrated after the Han only⁵¹, an argumentation that necessarily will stir up ethnic resistance.

3 Conflict Prevention and Reduction of Conflicts

Of course, the reform policies have brought about a more liberal treatment of minorities and have helped to improve the lives of most of them. Despite those improvements, conflicts are growing. These conflicts cannot be solved by force or economic improvements alone. As the cases of other ethnic conflicts on global scale demonstrate, we need a kind of a "therapeutic conflict treatment", as the German sociologist Dieter Senghaas has argued⁵², in order to reduce conflicts and to contend with ethnic traumas. And this is a precondition for the peaceful coexistence of nationalities in one country. Indeed, in recent years Chinese academics have started to discuss causes of nationalities' conflicts, new types of autonomy, safeguarding minority rights and even human rights in terms of minorities.⁵³ This does not mean that a basic change in Chinese nationalities' policies will occur immediately. But moreover, as stated above, there is a kind of affirmative action policies which offer advantages for members of ethnic minorities in terms of access to universities, birth control, use of one's own languages and scripts or cultural issues.⁵⁴ Although that does not mean that minorities will be granted democratic rights in the next few years, they are in a way accepted as particular groups with specific rights. This and the above mentioned new discussion on minorities' rights might provide a good starting point for more equality and improved rights in future.

What could be done then?

To ease nationalities conflicts in the long run a chance may lay in the creation of a federative state. The question of federalism arises not only for Tibet, Taiwan or all the provinces, but also for numerous other regions in which non-Han peoples live. Federalism seems advisable not only for ethnic reasons, but also for spatial-structural ones, since the central government has always had a difficult time putting together a flexible policy adequate to the task, because of the size and variety of the country. But if the fundamental political attitude toward the non-Han peoples does not change, even a federal system will not be able to solve the problems. A durable, stable federal system can only be built on the foundation of the consent of the peoples who are to constitute it. But one should be aware of the fact that ethnic minorities typically have substantially less affection and loyalty for the state than the dominant ethnic majority does. In this way the interest of a

⁵⁰ Williams 1998, p. 18.

⁵¹ Bulag 2000, p. 192.

⁵² Senghaas 1992, pp. 116ff., 1993 und 1996, p. 77.

⁵³ Cf. Zhou Ping 1997, pp. 73/74; Chen Xiangji et al. 1996 or Liu/Fang 1997, pp. 22/23; Zhou Ping 1997; Yang Jingchu 1998, pp. 21ff.; Wu Zongjin 1998, pp. 2ff.; Song Tao 1998; Yue/Yuan 1998; Chen Lipeng 1998.

⁵⁴ Cf. Yang Houdi 1997. An overview by Wu Shimin 1995.

minority group in a common federal state may not be the same as the interest of the dominant group.

Therefore, when one thinks about concrete measures of reducing the above mentioned five sources of conflict, then the following points should be taken into consideration:

- **First: Establishing a federal system:** Under such conditions the state would be responsible: (a) for macro-policies (macroeconomic control, balanced foreign trade relations, balance of regional developments and disparities, public affairs on national level); (b) for external affairs (e. g. foreign policy and security), and (c) regulation of social disparities and inequalities. The autonomous regions would have the right to make far-reaching decisions on their own in all regional or local matters (e. g. economic and cultural developments).
- **Second: More laws** ensuring not only cultural, economic, and social autonomy, but also that all decisions in regard to an autonomous region, including such questions as immigration, the establishment of industries, control over land and natural resources available in the territory, and environmental protection, are made in the interest of that region and its population.
- **Third: An institutional framework for implementing autonomy rights.** This requires independent courts: legal barriers must be erected against the majority. Also the party should not remain superordinate to autonomy, but should be subordinate to the law. Further, care would have to be taken that not only individuals but also ethnic groups collectively would have the possibility to bring a case to court.
- **Fourth: Policies of affirmative action** not only in the political and education sectors but also in the economic sphere (like preferential access to capital, raw materials and skilled labour) would be necessary to reduce inequality between Han and non-Han.
- **Fifth: The histories and cultures of all nationalities as well as the histories of nationalities' relations should be reassessed** in a discourse of people from the various nationalities. The concept of a hierarchization of cultures and societies should be given up.
- **Sixth:** To guarantee the above mentioned rights would require **organized representation of interests**, because the right to autonomy can only be represented or implemented by organized communities.
- **Seventh:** Intensified measures are necessary **to counter the growing discrimination** of members of ethnic minorities in urban areas. While open discrimination is forbidden by law, there is much 'behind the scenes' and everyday discrimination, and it is increasing in an alarming manner. The existence of such a phenomenon should be acknowledged and special programs for reducing discrimination and prejudices established.

Finally I argue that China may provide a good basis for such measures, as ethnic minorities are not only recognized as nationalities, but also are respected by public law and - according to this law - enjoy the same rights as the ethnic majority. Due to international developments the Party leadership has recognized the explosive power of nationalities conflicts. A rethinking of former policies has just begun, particularly in the academic field. Undoubtedly, in the years to come the issue of conflict management will become a crucial point in China's nationalities politics.

References

- Anaya, S. James (1996), *Indigenous Peoples in International Law*, New York, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Anderson, Benedict (1993), *Die Erfindung der Nation. Zur Karriere eines folgenreichen Konzepts*, Frankfurt, New York: Campus.
- Bamo Ayi (1997), *Lun Liangshan Yizu bimo jieceng de tezheng (On Particularities of the Bimo Strata among the Yi in Liangshan)*, in: Dai Jingxia, ed., *Zhongguo Yixue (Yi-Studies in China)*, Beijing: Minzu chubanshe, pp. 37-50.
- Bamo Ayi (1998), *Zhongguo Liangshan Yizu shehui zhong de bimo (Bimo in the Yi society in the Liangshan in China)*. Paper presented at the Second International Conference on Yi-Studies, Trier, Germany, 19-23 June, 1998.
- Banton, M. (1967), *Race Relations*, London.
- Barkmann, Udo B. (1997), *Ulan Bator ist kein Vorbild mehr*, in: *Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung*, 6 August.
- Barnett, Robert/Akiner, Shirin (1994), eds., *Resistance and Reform in Tibet*, London: Hurst & Company.
- Becquelin, Nicolas (1997), *Trouble on the Marches*, in: *China Perspectives*, No. 10, pp. 19-28.
- Becquelin, Nicolas (1998), *A New Xinjiang for a New Central Asia?*, in: *China Perspectives*, No. 15, pp. 10-21.
- Blumenwitz, Dieter (1995), *Volkgruppen und Minderheiten. Politische Vertretung und Kulturautonomie*, Berlin: Gebr. Mann Verlag.
- Brown, Melissa (1996), ed., *Negotiating Ethnicities in China and Taiwan*, Berkeley: Institute of East Asian Studies, University of California.
- Bulag, Uradyn E. (2000), *Ethnic resistance with socialist characteristics*, in: Perry, Elizabeth J./Selden, Mark, eds., *Chinese Societies. Change, Conflict and Resistance*, London and New York: Routledge, pp. 178-197.
- Burman, Bina Roy (1979), *Religion and Politics in Tibet*, New Delhi et al.: Vikas Publishing House.
- Buruma, Ian (1999), *Olympiade des Leidens. Globalisierung der Vergangenheitspolitik: Die Minderheiten der Welt fordern die Anerkennung ihrer historischen Unterdrückung*, in: *Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung*, 6 January.
- Chao, Emily, *Hegemony, Agency, and Re-presenting the Past: The Invention of Dongba Culture Among the Naxi of Southwest China*, in: Brown, Melissa J., ed., *Negotiating Ethnicities in China and Taiwan*, Berkeley: Institute of East Asian Studies, China Research Monograph No. 46, pp. 208-239.
- Chen Lipeng (1998), *Guanyu wo guo minzu lifa xianzhuang de sikao (On the current state of affairs of nationalities legislation of our country)*, in: *Guizhou Minzu Yanjiu (Nationalities Studies of Guizhou)*, 3, pp. 15-18.
- Chen Xiangji/He Yinling/Zhou Wuzhuang/Zhao Chongyi (1996), *Shilun minzu, zongjiao fangmian renmin neibu maodun tiaokong jizhi (On the mechanisms of dealing with contradictions within the people in terms of nationalities and religion)*, in: *Ningxia Shehui Kexue (Social Sciences of Ningxia)*, 6, pp. 35-40.
- Cheung, Siu-woo (1995), *Millenarianism, Christian Movements, and Ethnic Change among the Miao in Southwest China*, in: Harrell, Stevan, ed., *Cultural Encounters on China's Ethnic Frontiers*, Seattle and London: University of Washington Press, pp. 217-247.
- Choi Woogill (1998), *Korean Minority in China and Their Ethnic Identity (Diss.)*, Geneva, Switzerland: Graduate Institute of International Studies.

- Connor, Walker (1984), *The National Question in Marxist-Leninist Theory and Strategy*, Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Connor, Walker (1994), *Ethnonationalism, The Quest for Understanding*, Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Deutsch, Karl W. (1969), *Nationalism and Its Alternatives*, New York: Knopf.
- DeVos, George A. (1995), *Ethnic Pluralism: Conflict and Accomodation*, in: Romanucci-Ross, Lola/DeVos, George, eds., *Ethnic Identity. Creation, Conflict, and Accomodation*, London: Alta Mira Press.
- DeVos, George A./Romanucci-Ross, Lola (1995), *Ethnic Identity: A Psychocultural Perspective*, in: Romanucci-Ross, Lola/DeVos, George, eds., *Ethnic Identity. Creation, Conflict, and Accomodation*, London: Alta Mira Press.
- Diamond, Larry/Plattner, Marc F. (1994), eds., *Nationalism, Ethnic Conflict, and Democracy*, Baltimore and London: The John Hopkins University Press.
- Diamond, Norma (1988), *The Miao and Poison: Interactions on China's Southwest Frontier*, in: *Ethnology*, vol. XXVII, pp. 1-25.
- Diamond, Norma (1995), *Defining the Miao. Ming, Qing, and Contemporary Views*, in: Harrell, Stevan, ed., *Cultural Encounters on China's Ethnic Frontiers*, Seattle and London: University of Washington Press, pp. 92-116.
- Dikötter, Frank (1992), *The Discourse of Race in Modern China*, Stanford: Stanford University Press.
- Ding Ding (1995), *Das Menschenrechtsverständnis der chinesischen Exilopposition*, in: *Asien*, July, pp. 18-28.
- Dreyer, June T. (1976), *China's Forty Millions*, Cambridge/Mass.: Harvard University Press.
- Du Yonghao (1993), *Olunchun zu youlie, dingju, fazhan* (Hunting, settling down and development of the Oroqen), Beijing: Zhongyang minzu daxue chubanshe.
- Dwyer, Arie M. (1998), *The Texture of Tongues: Languages and Power in China*, in: Safran, William, ed., *Nationalism and Ethnoregional Identities in China*, London and Portland: Frank Cass, pp. 68-85.
- Esman, Milton J. (1995), *Ethnic Politics*, Ithaca and London: Cornell University Press.
- Esser, H. (1980), *Aspekte der Wanderungssoziologie. Assimilation und Integration von Wanderern, ethnischen Gruppen und Minderheiten*, Darmstadt, Neuwied.
- Fan Rongchun/Li Tinggui (1994), *Minzu wenti xueshuo* (Erklärung von Nationalitätenfragen), Guiyang: Guizhou minzu chubanshe.
- Franke, Wolfgang (1962), *China und das Abendland*, Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Rupprecht.
- Friedman, Edward (1995), *National Identity and Democratic Prospects in Socialist China*, Armonk and London: M. E. Sharpe.
- Gaha Shizhe (1998), *Bimo yu Yizu de rentongxing* (Bimo and Yi identity). Paper presented at the Second International Conference on Yi-Studies, Trier, Germany, 19-23 June.
- Gao Jiangang (1986), *Olunchunzu lieren renkou yu jingji fazhan tantao* (On the hunting population and economic development of the Oroqen), in: *Nei Menggu Shehui Kexue* (Social Sciences of Inner Mongolia), 5, pp. 49-54.
- Gillette, Maris Boyd (1998), *Engaging Modernity: Consumption Practices Among Urban Muslims in Northwest China* (Diss.), Ann Arbor: UMI Dissertation Services.
- Gladney, Dru C. (1991), *Muslim Chinese. Ethnic Nationalism in the People's Republic*, Cambridge/Mass. and London: Harvard University Press.
- Gladney, Dru C. (1992), *The Hui, Islam, and the State: A Sufi Community in China's Northwest Corner*, in: Gross, Jo-Ann, ed., *Muslims in Central Asia. Expression of Identity and Change*, Durham and London: Duke University Press, pp. 89-111.
- Gladney, Dru C. (1998), *Getting Rich is Not so Glorious. Contrasting Perspectives on Prosperity among Muslims and Han in China*, in: Hefner, Robert W., ed., *Market Cultures. Society and Morality in the New Asian Capitalism*, Boulder and Oxford: Westview Press, pp. 104-125.
- Gongren Ribao (Worker's Daily), Beijing.
- Groot, Jan. J. M. de (1921), *Die Hunnen der vorchristlichen Zeit*, Berlin and Leipzig.
- Gurr, Ted R./Harff, Barbara (1994), *Ethnic Conflict in World Politics*, Boulder, San Francisco and Oxford: Westview Press.
- Hancock, Landon B. (1998), *Entwicklungsmuster ethnischer Konflikte*, in: *Ethnos-Nation*, 6, pp. 9-28.
- Hansen, Mette Halskov (1999), *Lessons in Being Chinese. Minority's Education and Ethnic Identity in Southwest China*, Seattle and London: University of Washington Press.
- Hao Shiyuan (1998), *Zhongguo de minzu yu minzu wenti. Lun Zhongguo Gongchandang jieju minzu wenti de lilun yu shijian* (Chinas Nationalities and nationalities question. The

- theory and practice of the CCP in solving the nationalities question), Nanchang: Jiangxi renmin chubanshe.
- Harrell, Stevan (1995a), The History of the History of the Yi, in: Harrell, S., ed., *Cultural Encounters on China's Ethnic Frontiers*, Seattle and London: University of Washington Press, pp. 63-91.
- Harrell, Stevan (1995b), Introduction. Civilizing Projects and the Reaction to Them, in: ders., ed., *Cultural Encounters on China's Ethnic Frontiers*, Seattle and London: University of Washington Press, pp. 3-36.
- Harrell, Stevan (1995c), Languages Defining Ethnicity in Southwest China, in: Romanucci-Ross, Lola/DeVos, George, eds., *Ethnic Identity. Creation, Conflict, and Accomodation*, London: Alta Mira Press, pp. 97-114.
- Harrell, Stevan (1998), From Ethnic Group to Minzu (and back again?). Yi Identity in the People's Republic. Paper presented at the Second International Conference on Yi-Studies, Trier, Germany, 19-23 June, 1998.
- Harrell, Stevan/Bamo Ayi (1998), Combining Ethnic Heritage and National Unity: A Paradox of Nuosu (Yi) Language Textbooks in China, in: *Bulletin of Concerned Asian Scholars*, 2, pp. 62-71.
- Harrell, Stevan (2001), ed., *Perspectives on the Yi of Southwest China*, Berkeley et al.: University of California Press.
- Haynes, Jeff (1994), *Religion in Third World Politics*, Boulder: Lynne Rienner.
- He Gaowa (1997), Tan minzu zizhi difangnei minzu guanxi de weihe yu fazhan (On protection and development of nationalities relations in autonomous regions, in: *Nei Menggu Shehui Kexue (Social Sciences of Inner Mongolia)*, 6, pp.77-82.
- He Mingwei (1995), Liangshan zhou minzu ganbu xianzhuang he xuqiu yuce yanjiu (Study on the current situation and the prognosis of demand of minorities cadres in Liangshan Autonomous Prefecture), in: *Minzu Yanjiu (Nationalities Studies)*, 3, pp. 10-18.
- He Yaohua (1998), Zhongguo Yizu biannian shi de zui xin dongtai (Recent trends in the historiography of the Yi in China). Paper presented at the Second International Conference on Yi-Studies, Trier, Germany, 19-23 June, 1998.
- Heberer, Thomas (1984a), Nationalitätenpolitik und Entwicklungspolitik in den Gebieten nationaler Minderheiten in China, Bremen: Bremer Beiträge zur Geographie und Raumplanung, Universität Bremen.
- Heberer, Thomas (1984b), Gesetz über die Gebietsautonomie der Nationalitäten der VR China, Übersetzung und Kommentar, in: *China aktuell*, October, pp. 601-609.
- Heberer, Thomas (1987), New Aspects of Autonomy Legislation in the People's Republic of China, in: Thomas Heberer, ed., *Ethnic Minorities in China: Tradition and Transformation*, Aachen: Edition Herodot/Rader-Verlag, pp. 25-40.
- Heberer, Thomas (1989), *China and Its National Minorities. Autonomy or Assimilation?* Armonk and London: M.E. Sharpe.
- Heberer, Thomas (1991), Droht dem chinesischen Reich der Zerfall? Bedrohung durch wachsende Nationalitätenunruhen, Köln: Berichte des Bundesinstituts für ostwissenschaftliche und internationale Studien, 46.
- Heberer, Thomas (1995), Die Tibet-Frage als Problem der internationalen Politik, in: *Außenpolitik*, Heft 3, pp. 299-309.
- Heberer, Thomas (2000a), Nationalities Conflict and Ethnicity in the People's Republic of China with Special Reference to the Yi in the Liangshan Autonomous Prefecture, in: Harrell, Stevan, ed., *Perspectives on the Yi of Southwest China*, Berkeley et al.: University of California Press (forthcoming).
- Heberer, Thomas (2000b), Die Nationalitätenfrage am Vorabend des 21. Jahrhunderts. Konflikursachen, ethnische Reaktionen, Lösungsansätze und Konfliktprävention, in: Schubert, Gunter, ed., *Konturen einer Übergangsgesellschaft auf dem Weg in das 21. Jahrhundert*, Hamburg: Institute für Asienkunde, pp. 81-134.
- Heberer, Thomas (2001a), Die Heterogenität des Islam in China: Bedrohungspereption und ethnische Konfliktmuster, in: Schreiner, Klaus H., ed., *Islam in Asien*, Bad Honnef: Horlemann, pp. 196-231.
- Heberer, Thomas (2001b), Old Tibet a Hell on Earth? The Myth of Tibet and Tibetans in Chinese Art and Propaganda, in: Dodin, Thierry/Räther, Heinz, eds, *Imagining Tibet*, Boston: Wisdom Publications, pp.111-150.
- Heberer, Thomas (2001c), *The Impact of Ethnic Entrepreneurship on Social Change and Ethnicity*, Harvard Preprints No. 4, Harvard-Yenching Institute, Cambridge/Mass: Harvard University.

- Heberer, Thomas (2004), *Ethnic Entrepreneurs as Agents of Social Change – Entrepreneurs, clans, social obligations and ethnic resources: the case of the Liangshan Yi in Sichuan*. Duisburg Working Papers on East Asian Studies, 54, Duisburg: University of Duisburg-Essen.
- Heberer, Thomas (forthcoming), *Ethnic Entrepreneurs in Southwest China: Nuosu Yi Entrepreneurs and their Impact on Social Change in Liangshan Prefecture*, Seattle: University of Washington Press.
- Hechter, Michael (1975), *Internal Colonialism*, London: Routledge & Paul.
- Hechter, Michael (1976), *Ethnicity and Industrialization: On the Proliferation of the Cultural Division of Labor*, in: *Ethnicity*, 3, pp. 214-224.
- Heckmann, Friedrich (1992), *Ethnische Minderheiten, Volk und Nation*, Stuttgart: Enke.
- Hong Shirong (1994), *Olunchunzu minzu xiandaihua de jueze (Alternatives of modernization of the Oroqen nationality)*, Urumqi: Xinjiang renmin chubanshe.
- Hoppe, Thomas (1995), *Die ethnischen Gruppen Xinjiangs: Kulturunterschiede und interethnische Beziehungen*, Hamburg: Institut für Asienkunde.
- Hoppe, Thomas (1997), *Tibet Heute. Aspekte einer Komplexen Situation*, Hamburg: Institut für Asienkunde.
- Huang Guangcheng (1997), *Lun chuantong xisu yu minzu shehui guifan (On traditional customs and norms of ethnic societies)*, in: *Sixiang Zhanxian (Ideological Front)*, 1, pp. 71-77.
- Huntington, Samuel P. (1968), *Political Order in Changing Societies*, New Haven and London: Yale University Press.
- Hutchinson, John/Smith, Anthony D. (1996), eds., *Ethnicity*, Oxford and New York: Oxford University Press.
- Imhof, Kurt (1993), *Nationalismus, Nationalstaat und Minderheiten. Zu einer Soziologie der Minoritäten*, in: *Soziale Welt*, 3, pp. 327-357.
- Jiang, Liu/Lu, Xueyi/Dan, Tianlun et al. (1996), eds., *1995-1996 nian Zhongguo shehui xingshi fenxi yu yuce (Prognosis und analysis of the social situation in China in the years 1995 and 1996)*, Beijing: Zhongguo shehui kexue chubanshe.
- Kellas, James G. (1991), *The Politics of Nationalism and Ethnicity*, Houndmills and Basingstoke: MacMillan.
- Khan, Almaz (1995), *Chinggis Khan. From Imperial Ancestor to Ethnic Hero*, in: Harrell, Stevan, ed., *Cultural Encounters on China's Ethnic Frontiers*, Seattle and London: University of Washington Press, pp. 248-277.
- Klein, Eckart (1991), "Ein Gruppenrecht macht Minderheiten resistenter", in: *Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung*, 18 June.
- Kornai, Janos (1988), *Individual Freedom and Reform of the Socialist Economy*, in: *European Economic Review*, 32, pp. 233-267.
- Lai Cunli (1988), *Huizu shangye shi (History of the Trade of the Hui)*, Beijing: Zhongguo shangye chubanshe.
- Lake, David A./Rothchild, Donald (1996), *Containing Fear. The Origins and Management of Ethnic Conflict*, in: *International Security*, 2, pp. 41-75.
- Lake, David A./Rothchild, Donald (1998), *Spreading Fear: The Genesis of Transnational Ethnic Conflict*, in: Lake, D.A./Rothchild, D., eds., *The International Spread of Ethnic Conflict. Fear, Diffusion, and Escalation*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, pp. 3-32.
- Leger, Marie (1994), ed., *Aboriginal Peoples Toward Self-Government*, Montreal, New York and London: Blank Rose Books.
- Leiris, Michel (1979), *Die eigene und die fremde Kultur*, Frankfurt/M.: Syndikat.
- Li Qihong (1997), *Guangxi minzu jiaowang xinli de bijiao yanjiu (Study on the psychology of interethnic contacts in Guangxi)*, in: *Minzu Yanjiu (Nationalities Studies)*, 1, pp. 18-30.
- Litzinger, Ralph A. (1995), *Making Histories. Contending Conceptions of the Yao Past*, in: Harrell, Stevan, ed., *Cultural Encounters on China's Ethnic Frontiers*, Seattle and London: University of Washington Press, pp. 117-139.
- Liu Yunhan/Fang Shengju (1997), *Lun minzu zizhi difang de zhengzhi wending (On political stability in autonomous regions of nationalities)*, in: *Sixiang Zhanxian (Ideological Front)*, 1, pp. 21-25.
- Liu Zhongkang (1996), *Feifa zongjiao huodong ji qi weihai (Illegal religious activities and their harmfulness)*, in: *Xinjiang Shehui Jingji (Society and Economy of Xinjiang)*, 5, pp. 66-69.
- Lü Guangtian (1986), *Wo guo shaoshu minzu renkou yanjiu shuping (Population studies on the ethnic minorities of our country)*, in: *Zhongguo Shehui Kexue (Social Sciences in China)*, 1, pp. 79-90.
- Lü Qing (1996), *Shilun minzu shehuixue de yanjiu keti (Research topics of nationalities' sociology)*, in: *Xibei Minzu Xueyuan Xuebao (Journal of the Northwestern Nationalities Institute)*, 1, pp. 59-65.

- Luo Chun/Xing Wei (1998), Shilun shaoshu minzu renkou de fei ziran zengchang (On the unnatural growth of the population of national minorities), in: Xinan Minzu Xueyuan Xuebao (Journal of the Southwestern Nationalities Institute), 5, pp. 37-40.
- Luo Rongfen (1995), Die Frauen des Dulong-Volkes, in: China Heute, 4, pp. 46-49.
- Ma Lilan (1996), Zhengque chuli xin shiqi minzu gongzuo zhong de ruogan guanxi (The correct handling of relationships in the nationalities work in the new period), in: Minzu Gongzuo (Nationalities Work), 12, pp. 11-16.
- Ma Rong (1996), Xizang de renkou yu shehui (Population and Society of Tibet), Beijing: Tongxin chubanshe.
- Ma Wenyu (1997), Dui jinyibu wanshan wo guo minzu quyue zizhi zhidu de sikao (Considerations on the further improvement of our country's autonomy system), in: Nei Menggu Shehui Kexue (Social Sciences of Inner Mongolia), 6, pp. 83-86.
- Mackerras, Colin (1995), ed., China's Minority Cultures, Melbourne and New York: Longman and St. Martin's Press.
- McKhann, Charles F. (1995), The Naxi and the Nationalities Question, in: Harrell, Stevan, ed., Cultural Encounters on China's Ethnic Frontiers, Seattle and London: University of Washington Press, pp. 39-62.
- McKhann, Charles F. (1998), Naxi, Reekua, Moso, Meng: Kinship, Politics and Ritual on the Yunnan-Sichuan Frontier. Paper presented at the Second International Conference on Yi-Studies, Trier, Germany, 19-23 June, 1998.
- McMillen, Donald H. (1979), Chinese Communist Power and Policy in Xinjiang, 1949-1977, Boulder and Folkestone: Westview Press.
- Müller, Claudius C. (1980), Die Herausbildung der Gegensätze: Chinesen und Barbaren in der frühen Zeit, in: Bauer, Wolfgang, ed., China und die Fremden. 3000 Jahre Auseinandersetzung in Krieg und Frieden, München: C.H. Beck, pp. 43-76.
- Nash, Manning (1989), The Cauldron of Ethnicity in the Modern World, Chicago and London: The University of Chicago Press.
- Newman, S. (1991), Does Modernization Breed Ethnic Political Conflicts?, in: World Politics, 43.
- Palmer, Katherine E. (1998), Cheating the Zhuang: Ethnic Politics in the People's Republic of China (Diss.), Ann Arbor: UMI Dissertation Services.
- Pan Jiao, Minzu rentong lilun ji Yizu rentong de jiangou (Theories of ethnic identity and the creation of a Yi identity). Paper presented at the Second International Conference on Yi-Studies, Trier, Germany, 19-23 June, 1998.
- Pang, Keng-Fong (1998), Unforgiven and Remembered: The Impact of Ethnic Conflicts in Everyday Muslim-Han Social Relations on Hainan Island, in: Safran, William, ed., Nationalism and Ethnoregional Identities in China, London and Portland: Frank Cass, pp. 142-162.
- Pincus, Fred L. (1994), The Case for Affirmative Action, in: Pincus, Fred L./Ehrlich, Howard J., Race and Ethnic Conflict, Boulder and Oxford: Westview Press, pp. 368-382.
- Quincy, Keith (1988), Hmong, History of a People, Cheney: Eastern Washington University Press.
- Reiter, Albert F. (1994), Theorie der Ethnizität - eine allgemeine Entwicklungstheorie?, in: Österreichische Zeitschrift für Politikwissenschaft, 1, pp. 59-72.
- Renmin Ribao (People's Daily), Beijing.
- Rösel, Jakob (1995), Ethnic Nationalism and Ethnic Conflict, in: Politik und Gesellschaft, 2, pp. 117-130.
- Rudelson, Justin J. (1997), Oasis Identities: Uyghur Nationalism along China's Silk Road, New York: Columbia University Press.
- Safran, William (1998), ed., Nationalism and Ethnoregional Identities in China, London and Portland: Frank Cass.
- Samolin, William (1964), East Turkistan to the Twelfth Century. A Brief Political Survey, London: Mouton.
- Sautmann, Barry (1998), Preferential Policies for Ethnic Minorities in China: The Case of Xinjiang, in: Safran, William, ed., Nationalism and Ethnoregional Identities in China, London and Portland: Frank Cass, pp. 86-118.
- Scherrer, Christian P. (1994), Ethno-Nationalismus als globales Phänomen. Zur Krise der Staaten in der Dritten Welt und der früheren UdSSR, Duisburg: INEF Report. Institut für Entwicklung und Frieden, Gerhard-Mercator-Universität.
- Schneckener, Ulrich/Senghaas, Dieter (1997), Auf der Suche nach friedlicher Koexistenz. Modelle zur Bearbeitung ethno-nationaler Konflikte in Europa. InIS-Arbeitspapier Nr. 8: Institut für Interkulturelle und Internationale Studien, Bremen.
- Schwartz, Ronald D. (1994), Circle of Protest: Political Ritual in the Tibetan Uprising, New York: Columbia University Press.

- Senghaas, Dieter (1992), *Friedensprojekt Europa*, Frankfurt/M.: Suhrkamp.
- Senghaas, Dieter (1993), *Therapeutische Konfliktintervention in Europa*, in: Attesländer, Peter, Hg., *Kulturelle Eigenentwicklung. Perspektiven einer neuen Entwicklungspolitik*, Frankfurt/M.: Campus, pp. 65-85.
- Senghaas, Dieter (1996), *Wohin driftet die Welt?*, Frankfurt/M.: Suhrkamp.
- Shen Guiping/Shi Yazhou (1998), *Minzu zhengce kexue daolun. Dangdai Zhongguo minzu zhengce lilun yanjiu* (Guidance through the political science of nationalities. Studys on the theory of nationalities policies in contemporary China), Beijing: Zhongyang minzu daxue chubanshe.
- Shen Hong (1998), *Zhongguo pinkun zhuangkuang yu fupin xingshi fenxi* (Analysis of the poverty alleviation in China), in: Ru Xin/Lu Xueyi/Dan Tianlun, eds., 1998 nian: *Zhongguo shehui xingshi fenxi yu yuce* (Analysis and forecast of the social situation in China in 1998), Beijing: Shehui kexue wenzhai chubanshe, pp. 90-105.
- Shen Jun (1997), *Feifa zuzhi 'mentuhui' huodong weihai da* (Major harm through illegal activities of sects), in: *Minzu (Nationalities)*, 8, p. 35.
- Short, James F., Jr. (1997), *Poverty, Ethnicity, and Violent Crime*, Boulder and Oxford: Westview Press.
- Shu Jingxiang (1992), *Zongjie jingyan, jiakuai jianshe, wei yingqing Olunchun zu dingju sishi zhounian nuli gongzuo* (Summarize experiences, accelerate construction. On 40 years of difficult work in settling down the Oroqen), in: *Heilongjiang Minzu Congkan* (Collection of contributions on nationalities in Heilongjiang), 1, pp. 6-11.
- Smith, Anthony D. (1996), *Culture, Community and Territory: The Politics of Ethnicity and Nationalism*, in: *International Affairs*, 3, pp. 445-458.
- Smith, Graham (1995), ed., *Federalism: The Multiethnic Challenge*, London and New York: Longman.
- Smith, Warren W. (1996), *Tibetan Nation. A History of Tibetan Nationalism and Sino-Tibetan Relations*, Boulder and Oxford: Westview Press.
- Sneath, David (1994), *The Impact of the Cultural Revolution in China on the Mongolians of Inner Mongolia*, in: *Modern Asian Studies*, 28, 2, pp. 409-430.
- Sod Nam Rinchen (1998), *Dangqian Lasa liudong renkou cunzai de wenti yu duice* (Problems and policies in terms of the contemporary floating population in Lhasa), in: *Xizang Yanjiu* (Tibetan Studies), 4, pp. 80-81.
- Song Tao (1998), *Wanshan yu fazhan minzu quyue zizhi zhidu de sikao* (Considerations on the improvement and development of the system of regional autonomy of nationalities), in: *Guangbo Dianshi Daxue Xuebao* (Journal of the Broadcast and Television University), 3, pp. 65-68.
- Stagner, Ross (1967), *Psychological Aspects of International Conflict*, Belmont/California: Brooks/Cole.
- Sugimoto, Takashi (1994), *The Political Stability of Ethnic Regions in China*, in: *Asia-Pacific Review*, 1, pp. 83-121.
- Tang Peiji/Xu Buzeng/Yan Huimin/Gu Borong/Cheng Yiliu (1992), *Shanghai Youtairen* (Shanghai's Jews), Shanghai: Sanlian shudian Shanghai fendian.
- Tian Qing (1997), *Jiannan de qibu, changzu de fazhan. 80 niandai yilai wo guo minzu renkouxue fazhan gaikuang* (Difficult beginning, remarkable development. The development of nationalities' population science since the 80s), in: *Zhongguo Shehui Kexue* (China's Social Sciences), 3, pp. 105-114.
- Unger, Jonathan (1997), *Not Quite Han: The Ethnic Minorities of China's Southwest*, in: *Bulletin of Concerned Asian Scholars*, 4, pp. 67-78.
- Vanhanen, Tatu (1999), *Domestic Ethnic Conflict and Ethnic Nepotism: A Comparative Analysis*, in: *Journal of Peace Research*, 1, pp. 55-73.
- Walzer, Michael (1996), *Lokale Kritik - globale Standards*, Hamburg: Rotbuch.
- Wang Geliu (1997), *Guanyu zizhiquan he youhui zhengce tanxi* (On autonomy rights and affirmative policies), in: *Minzu Yanjiu* (Nationalities Studies), 5, pp. 28-35.
- Wang Yongwu (1998), *Shilun wo guo minzufa shishi jian du jizhi de xianzhuang, wenti ji duice* (Situation, problems and reaction towards the supervision system in terms of nationalities legislation), in: *Xinan Minzu Xueyuan Xuebao* (Journal of the Southwestern Nationalities Institute), 1, pp. 39-45.
- Weng, Jieming/Zhang, Tao/Qu, Kemin et al. (1995), Hg., 1995-1996 nian *Zhongguo fazhan zhuangkuang yu yueshi* (Situation and trends in the development of China in the years 1995 and 1996), Beijing: Zhongguo shehui kexue chubanshe.
- Wiens, Herold J. (1954), *China's March Toward the Tropics*, Hamden: Shoestring Press.

- Williams, Dee Mack (1998), Alcohol Indulgence in a Mongolian Community of China, in: *Bulletin of Concerned Asian Scholars*, 1, pp. 13-22.
- Wimmer, Andreas (1995), Interethnische Konflikte, in: *Kölner Zeitschrift für Soziologie und Sozialpsychologie*, 3, pp. 464-493.
- Wu Shimin (1995), *Zhongguo minzu zhengce gailan* (General overview on China's nationalities policy), Beijing: Renmin chubanshe.
- Wu Zongjin (1998), *Minzu fazhi de lilun yu shijian* (Theory and practice of the nationalities law system), Beijing: Zhongguo minzhu fazhi chubanshe.
- Wugashinuimo Louwu (1998), *Discovering and Re-Discovering Yi Identity: Shared Identity Narratives from the Classics of Yunnan, Sichuan, Guizhou and Guangxi*. Paper presented at the Second International Conference on Yi-Studies, Trier, Germany, 19-23 June, 1998.
- Xinjiang qiye gaige zhong de minzu wenti yu duice (1995) (Nationalities problems and policies in terms of enterprise reform in Xinjiang), in: *Xinjiang Shehui Jingji* (Society and Economy of Xinjiang), 3, pp. 11-16.
- Xiong Xiyuan (1994), *Minzu xinli yu minzu yishi* (Ethnical psychology and ethnic consciousness), Kunming: Yunnan daxue chubanshe.
- Yang Houdi (1997), *Zhongguo shaoshu minzu renquan shuyao* (Human rights for China's ethnic minorities), Beijing: Beijing daxue chubanshe.
- Yang Hui (1995), *Lun Liangshan Yizu qiye guanli ganbu de peiyang* (On the training of enterprise management cadres among the Yi in Liangshan), in: *Xinan Minzu Xueyuan Xuebao* (Journal of the Southwestern Nationalities Institute), 3, pp. 50-53.
- Yang Jingchu (1996), *Minzu diqu jingji fazhan he minzu guanxi yuce yanjiu* (Forecast on economic development and nationalities relations in minority areas), in: *Zhongyang Minzu Daxue Xuebao* (Journal of the Central University for Nationalities), 2, pp. 13-20.
- Yang Jingchu (1998), *Zhongguo tese minzu lilun xueke de fazhan yu jinrong* (Development and prospering of the science of nationalities theory with Chinese characteristics), in: *Minzu Yanjiu* (Nationalities Studies), 5, pp. 17-27.
- Yang Renhou (1997), *Wo guo minzu chongtu yanjiu de xianzhuang jiqi cunzai de wenti* (Current situation and problems in the research on nationalities conflicts of our country), in: *Guizhou Minzu Yanjiu* (Nationalities Studies of Guizhou), 1, pp. 18-22.
- Yuan Huarong/Zhang Zhiliang/Wu Yuping (1997), *Research on the Cultural Distribution of China's National Minority Population*, in: *Social Sciences in China*, Autumn, pp. 139-148.
- Yue Chaishen/Yuan Li (1998), "Minzu quyu zizhifa" shishi baozhang wenti yanjiu (Study on the securing of the protection of the "Law on the regional autonomy of nationalities"), in: *Xinan Minzu Xueyuan Xuebao* (Journal of the Southwestern Nationalities Institute), 5, pp. 126-133.
- Zhang Luocheng/Wu Chucai (1998), *Minzu diqu jiegouxing maodun tanxi* (On the structural contradictions in nationalities areas), in: *Guizhou Minzu Yanjiu* (Nationalities Studies of Guizhou), 3, pp. 24-28.
- Zhang Sui (1990), *Youtai jiao yu Zhongguo Kaifeng youtairen* (Jewish religion and Jews in China's Kaifeng), Shanghai: Sanlian shudian Shanghai fendian.
- Zhongguo minzu tongji nianjian* (1997), Beijing: Minzu chubanshe.
- Zhongguo tongji nianjian* (1999) (Statistical Yearbook of China) 1999, Beijing: Zhongguo tongji chubanshe.
- "Zhonghua Renmin Gongheguo minzu quyu zizhifa" xiugai jianyi gao (1998) (Proposal of a renewal of the "Law on the regional autonomy of nationalities"), in: *Xinan Minzu Xueyuan Xuebao* (Journal of the Southwestern Nationalities Institute), 1, pp. 34-38.
- Zhou Ping (1997), *Shaoshu minzu zhengzhi canyu fenxi* (Analysis of political participation of national minorities), in: *Yunnan Shehui Kexue* (Social Sciences of Yunnan), 5, pp. 68-74.
- Zhou Xinhua/Xia Dasheng (1995), *Minzu diqu nongcun shehui zhi'an wenti jianyi* (Suggestions in terms of security in rural areas in nationalities regions), in: *Zhongnan Minzu Xueyuan Xuebao* (Journal of the Central-Southern Nationalities Institute), 6, pp. 30-32.

Thomas Heberer is professor of Political Science, chair of East Asian Politics at Gerhard-Mercator-University Duisburg/Germany. He is concurrently Director of the Institute of East Asian Studies at that university.

Contact address:

Prof. Dr. Thomas Heberer
Institute of East Asian Studies
University Duisburg-Essen at Duisburg
Geibelstrasse 41
D-47048 Duisburg/Germany.

e-mail: heberer@uni-duisburg.de